

FOOTBALL NEWS

March 1st .

NO 40

B TEAM RICHLY DESERVE LONG AWAITED VICTORY OVER SFX. HASSELL CREATES MANY CHANCES. MADGIN SCORES FOUR GOALS.

INSTITUTE 9 S.F.X. 5.

The most satisfying chapter in our continuing saga of B team games against SFX. was written two Saturdays ago when we overcame their formidable line up and won an excellent game 9-5. This victory has been coming for many months and I gained much satisfaction from the way the team played. Real spirit and the 'killer touch' in front of goal kept Mr. Cooke (referee-
-eing on the adjacent pitch) glancing over his shoulder as Phair and his men marched on to victory.

The pitch was very wet but Institute with goals from Warburton, Pimblett and Madgin moved into a three goal lead. However, SFX came back into the game and by half time had reduced the score to 3-2. Just before half time Parkinson, who was having a fine game in goal, took a very hard knock in a goalmouth incident. He bravely continued between the posts and his was a major part in this victory. On many occasions he prevented the SFX forwards from scoring with fine saves. The score at half time was 3-2 and shortly after Johnson was injured after a blow in the face. He had to be replaced by Ventre who had been eagerly standing on the line throughout the first half. Now the action really began. Stuart scored a goal to make it 4-2 and Madgin completed his hat trick to make it 6-2. Hassell was playing his usual excellent game, passing the ball accurately and laying on many chances for his team mates. At the back, Phair was a 'rock' in the defence and prevented any hope of an effective SFX. comeback. SFX. scored again But further goals from Halewood 2 and Madgin deservedly gave Institute a convincing 4 goal lead.

It is hard to pick out any players in this fine performance. Warburton brought his 1st team skills to bear and Madgin and Halewood continue to show real goal scoring flair. Bostock, desperately keen to play in this game, grabbed his chance with the non arrival of Diable and played well in defence. Pimblett, Stuart and Ventre all played their part. Baker, as keen as ever, had one or two difficulties but these can be put down to inexperience as this was only his second game.


There will be more B team games to come and their record over the last 3 games - 10-2, 2-1, 9-5 total 21-8. If they win their three games in hand they will draw level with the A team in the School, league.

Ratings. Parkinson 9 Phair 9 Baker 7
Bostock 8 Johnson 8 Hassell 10
Pimblett 8 Warburton 8 Halewood 8 Madgin 9
Stuart 8 Ventre 8.

Team Vs Ruffwood. (to be arranged by me)

Parkinson if available or Tsai.
Phair Freckleton O'shaughnessy Bostock.
Warburton Stuart
Pimblett Halewood Madgin Hamilton.

Sub. Ventre.



"ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS GUESS WHICH
SIDE OF THIS COIN WILL SHOW ON THE
GROUND—DO YOU THINK YOU CAN
MANAGE THAT?"

POOR RUN CONTINUES. SLIGHT COMEBACK FADES AWAY IN SECOND HALF.

INSTITUTE I SFX. 6.

SFX. kicked off with a strong wind behind them and attacked all through the first half. However, for 20 minutes the Institute defence played well and it looked as if we had a real chance of a second half victory. But towards the end of the first half the game slipped steadily away from us. SFX. scored after 20 minutes when a corner came over unchallenged. One of their defenders easily headed into the net. This was a terrible piece of marking and lack of challenge. Shortly after O'shaughnessy handled on the line and SFX missed a penalty, the ball being struck straight at Naughton. An own goal by Regan followed, this being a piece of bad luck for Regan was trying to clear the ball from danger. He did not know that Naughton had the ball covered. Another missed penalty by SFX gave us hope but two goals near the end of the half left us a 4 goal deficit to make up. Harrison took a hard knock just before half time and he had a nose bleed to contend with in the second half.

For a short period in the second half we held our own and Langford reduced the arrears when he got a late touch to a shot from Hanlon. Hanlon came close on another occasion but our chances were few and on two of SFX's breakaways they scored 2 goals to leave us far behind. O'shaughnessy played a lone role at centre half towards the end and only 3 excellent saves by Naughton prevented a really embarrassing scoreline. Langford could have scored near the end but it was not to be. The day was summed up when Bennett, our most dangerous forward limped off with 8 minutes remaining.

Ratings. Naughton 7 Chellew 6 Freckleton 5 O'shaughnessy 5
Phillipson 5 Hanlon 6 Lawson 4 Harrison 5 Langford 4 Regan 6
Bennett 7.

Team to play Ruffwood. Naughton Chellew Phillipson Hassell Johnson
Hanlon Regan Harrison Langford Diable Bennett.

SCHOOL LEAGUE..

	P.	W.	D.	L.	F.	A.	Pts.
Fourth year	10	8	0	2	34	17	16
Second year	10	7	1	2	35	20	15
Third year	12	7	1	4	33	29	15
Third year B	9	4	1	4	40	37	9
First year	11	2	2	7	22	46	6
2nd XI	7	1	3	3	11	17	6
1st XI	10	1	3	6	19	43	5.

SOLID PERFORMANCE FROM BACK FOUR IN FINE VICTORY. REGAN STARS IN MIDFIELD.

Institute combined XI 2 Liverpool schools XI 1
Bennett. Lawlor.

An excellent game of football last Tuesday brought the Institute combined XI victory over a strong Liverpool schools XI. Before describing the action I feel that full credit must be given to Harper and Jarman who both had excellent games in the centre of the defence. There were few occasions when they were not in complete control and what was most noticeable to me was the confident way in which they played - this rubbed off on other players and certainly must have slightly depressed the City forwards who had no chances in the Institute penalty area other than those created by their own skill. Having sounded the praises of these two players full mention must be made of the job carried out by Chellew. He had the very difficult job of marking a very good striker and the manner in which he carried it out impressed many spectators. Bearing in mind the fact that Chellew is not yet fully fit this was a vital contribution to eventual victory. Finally Phillipson played a most professional role at left back and his tackling

, passing and clearances were first class. The start of the game saw both sides 'probing' each other on a pitch that was in remarkably good condition considering all the rain we had had. This pitch was tailor made for Regan who could show off his midfield skills without slithering down into a morass of mud, a fate he has suffered over the last few weeks.. Indeed it was Regan who made the first goal when he hit a long high ball from the left flank into the centre. Bennett was in space and he did everything right. A hard first time shot from the edge of the area evaded the goalkeeper and continued Bennett's consistent scoring record over the last few weeks. Regan was controlling midfield and this job was made easier by the eager, all out efforts of Manifold who impressed me very much. 5 minutes later Diable broke clear down the left but unfortunately he took the ball just a yard too far and his eventual shot was saved low down by the goalkeeper. The City were attacking more as the game progressed and Naughton made a good catch to break up a dangerous move. . Back to the other end and Diable forced his way through but Conneely was inches away from connecting 5 yards out. This would have been a fine goal but again the action swung to the other end with Chellew coolly bringing the ball out from a position of danger in our penalty area. Regan was laying off some very astute passes and one 'searching' pass split the City defense but Conneely was prevented from taking full advantage. O'shaughnessy was caught in possession and from the quick attacking thrust Phillipson cleared off the line and 3 minutes later we gave away a silly goal after failing to clear the ball from the danger zone. Shortly after we were lucky not to go behind but half time saw a fair scoreline of one goal each. This certainly was becoming a very keen contest. Just before half time Conneely, not quite at his best, was replaced by Langford.

The second half started with a good snap shot from Diable and a very timely tackle by Phillipson that prevented a City breakaway. Lawlor replaced O'shaughnessy and Diable moved back into midfield. It was at this stage of the game that Jarman and Harper impressed most. They sealed the middle of the defence and the frustration of the City forwards was shown when their No. 11 changed flanks to try and get away from Chellew. It didn't work and although they were desperately unlucky to see a shot bounce down off the bar there was soon to be the most pleasing moment of the game for Mr Williams, Robinson and Cooke.

Diable's pass LAWLOR'S Memorable goal.

Diable produced a gem of a ball that eluded 3 defenders and found Lawlor 12 yards out. If the pass was good Lawlor's contribution was excellent. He hit an accurate left foot shot right in the correct place. The ball hit the corner of the net and we had the lead.

One could sense the eagerness of the City team to equalise and if it were not for a fine interception by Harper they could have done so and to follow this Chellew again played the ball out of a nasty position with calmness and authority. Holden replaced Phillipson with ten minutes to go and immediately broke up a City attack and passed the ball out accurately. During the last ten minutes the play went from end to end and two incidents, one at each end, stood out. Regan ran a full 50 yards with the ball and after beating several defenders sent in a long shot that almost beat the goalkeeper. Langford was inches away from the rebound. At the other end we got into an almighty tangle and they hit the bar for a second time and seconds later Jarman cleared off the line to save the game for us. I think Mr Williams must take the largest share of the credit for selecting just the right sort of balance.

Ratings.	Naughton 7	Chellew 10	Jarman 10	Harper 10	Phillipson 9
Regan 10	Manifold 9	O'shaughnessy 7	Diable 7	Bennett 9	Conneely 6
Holden 8	Lawlor 8	Langford 7			

SECOND YEAR FOOTBALL

S. F. X. 2 INSTITUTE 3

RICE (8)

HOLDEN (9)

HARPER (10)

TARMAN (10)

DERBYSHIRE (8)

HOODS (8)

MITCHELL (capt.) (10)

MANIFOLD (8)

LAWLOR (7)

CURLETT (8)

CONNELLY (8)

SUBS: VIRTUE (8) FOULKES (8)

The team returned to its winning ways with a well-earned victory over a very competitive S.F.X. side - far from the weak side some had said they were. Institute had the more skillful players, and their keeper made several excellent saves. Play was spoilt a little by the smallness of the pitch, as through-balls tended to reach the keepers most of the time. Institute started in fine style when Tarmen ran through for the half-way line and unleashed a mighty shot from 20 yards which almost split the cross-bar! Then Mitchell dribbled through and from 20 yards hit a shot which was going inside the post when the keeper tipped it round brilliantly. Connelly almost scored when he picked up a corner clearance, but saw his shot well saved. Rice was rarely threatened, as the defence were in top form. 0-0 at half-time. S.F.X. came into the game in the second half and opened the scoring from a corner. However, Institute fought back, and from a well-flighted corner, by Manifold, Harper ran above the defence to head in. Then we were awarded a penalty when Mitchell was brought down. Manifold placed the ball just inside the post - the perfect penalty. Curlett was having a quiet game, but turned well once to send in a good shot. Virtue almost scored when he shot from a corner clearance. Then, S.F.X. scored direct from a free-kick. A draw seemed to be on the cards but, from a poor clearance, Manifold put through a great ball to Connelly, who calmly beat the keeper from 10 yards. This was a good win after a couple of recent defeats. The defence were outstanding - Rice showed confidence, and should be a good keeper with a few more games; Holden, Harper, and Tarmen dealt with a large number of high through balls in excellent fashion. Mitchell again stamped his class on midfield.

P	W	D	L	For	Ag.
15	9	1	3	51	26

CURLETT 13
MANIFOLD 10
MITCHELL 7
LAWLOR 6

WOODS 4
TARMAN 4
CONNELLY 3
VIRTUE 2

HARPER 1

Saturday's match will be away, at Ruffwood

I have arranged a match, next Monday, away at St. Margarets, kick-off around 4-30. I shall try to arrange a mid-week match every week.

K.W.

NAUGHTON (8)

CHELLEW (capt.) (10) HARPER (9) JARMAN (9) PHILIPSON (9)

O'SHAUGHNESSY (7) REGAN (10) MANIFOLD (8)

DIABLE (8) BENNETT (8) CONNEELY (7)

SUBS: LANGFORD (7) LAWLOR (8) HOLDEN (8).

CITY: JAMES (West Derby); MORRIS (New Heys), MARTINDALE (Yew Tree),
CUSACK (Netherley), MCCARTHY (Cardinal Newman); Mitchell (capt. Institute),
KING (English Martyrs), YATES (New Heys); MASON (Collegiate),
BRENNAN (Crazeeth), GOULDING.

This is just a short summary, mainly for the 2nd. Year players, as I am sure Mr. Cooke has written an excellent report on the game as a whole. I must begin by congratulating Chellew on one of the finest displays of full-back play I have ever seen at this level - he kept winger Mason in check for the whole game, and I reckon Mason may well be a professional one day, as he is very good. So, full marks to Chellew, and also to Regan, who was the best midfield player on the day, playing with great vision - he provided the splendid defence-splitting pass for Bennett's great goal. I must now go on to praise my own players, as some of them had excellent games, especially our reliable central defenders, Harper and Jarman, who have played splendidly all season. I make no secret of the fact that I think both are as good as their counterparts in the City team, and perhaps their efforts will be rewarded soon by selection - certainly for the squad. They dealt calmly with all balls through the middle, and the centre-forward was replaced at half-time, as he was getting nowhere. Manifold had a lot of competition in midfield, as the City midfield is exceptionally strong, but he did lots of good work, and tackled hard. Conneely seemed overawed by the occasion, and was unable to produce his normal form. However, Lawlor and Holden, who went on as second-half subs., played with great spirit, and Lawlor scored the winner with a cool left-foot shot just inside the post.

Defences tended to dominate the forwards, which meant that there were not very many goalmouth thrills, but 100% effort from everyone ensured an absorbing match. I'm sure we gave the City officials a nasty shock, as we were meant to be the sacrificial lamb for their team to slaughter - but Institute teams do not go out to lose! All the lads showed great character and all deserved the congratulations they received.

A final note: I must admit that I was so involved watching our team that I did not notice how Mitchell played; but the City manager said he had an excellent game, and so it was a very good day for the Institute.

K.W.

If a person is to act morally must he know what he is doing and must he do it freely?

Moral action depends on some kind of reason. Imagine I make a solemn promise to a person and then casually break it. The essential point to understand is that such concepts as keeping a promise or telling the truth involve more than just a set of noises or physical movements. They involve the notions of intention of understanding and knowing what you are doing.

You could build a robot to say "I promise to be here when you come back", and it may well be there when you come back; but it would not have made or kept a promise. Unlike the robot I can claim to have kept my promise because I know what I am doing. The robot just goes through the motions, but I can act intentionally. Moral words are all tied down in varying degrees to the notion of intention. You cannot make a promise entirely by accident because making a promise entails committing yourself, usually by some form of words uttered in a context which you know is regarded as morally binding.

Morality is concerned with our actions, our behaviour and the role of reason in our subsequent actions is a much disputed question.

Can the place of reason in our actions help us with moral problems?

I say that in order to act rationally we must accept objective principles. What do I mean by objective principle? It is one which will be acceptable to any rational being.

Look at the activity, What ought I to do? as an attempt to get a correct rather than an incorrect answer to the question What shall I do? It would appear that this is

Something social, in that if someone claims that something is correct and another person says it is incorrect, if there is any such thing as correctness in this matter they cannot both be right and there must be a reason why one of them is wrong and they must try and find out what it is. Therefore if one is seriously concerned to find out what he ought to do then he needs somebody else who is able to make objections to his aims or purposes. Let me make this clearer. If I say it is correct to do - 'this' - I ought to do it and somebody else says 'no it is not' I have immediately a reason for thinking that perhaps it might not be correct. If I really want to know what is correct then this discussion has to proceed. If to be correct, to do what is right, is to do what is acceptable to any rational being then I require the objections of others and hence I cannot claim to be seriously concerned with what is right unless I regard myself a part of a community of rational beings within which we try and determine what we ought to do.

But there is another side to this argument of the working of the human mind when it comes to action. What happens when we deliberate about performing an action is a kind of contest between the various impulses, desires and aversions, with reason playing a subsidiary role concerned with the consequences of what we do or don't do, and at the end of the struggle the victorious overriding impulse or aversion becomes what some philosophers call the will. What they deny is the conception of the will as a rational or reasoning faculty. The will, for them, was just the last 'appetite' or aversion adhering to action. About a hundred years later a somewhat similar conception of the subservience of reason to desires and aversions appeared in the works of David Hume. The ultimate ends of human actions can never be accounted for by reason.

Ask any man why he uses exercise. He will answer because he desires to keep his health. If you then enquire why he desires health, he will reply it is necessary for the exercise of his calling. If you ask why he is anxious on that head he will say because he desires to get money. If you demand why? He will say because it is the instrument of pleasure. Beyond this it is an absurdity to ask for reason, something must be desirable on its own account. Hume concludes that, reason, being cool and disengaged is no motive to action. Nothing can oppose or retard the impulse of passion but a contrary impulse. Reason is the slave of the passions and can never pretend to any other office than to serve and obey them.

This view has important consequences for morality. I have said that morality is concerned with our actions, our behaviour. But since reason cannot by itself give rise to any action whatever it can't possibly provide a foundation for morality. (i.e. judgement as to what it is right or wrong to do) The extreme consequences of this view are vigorously driven home by Hume himself. "It is not contrary to reason to prefer the destruction of the whole world to the scratching of my finger". What lies at the basis of the judgement that I really ought to prefer the scratching of my finger to the destruction of the whole world? The answer according to Hume lies in feeling or sentiment. Reason enables us to sort out our desires and impulses and sentiments, to predict their consequences and so on. But the foundations of our moral judgements must be a matter of feeling or sentiment.

CONTINUED NEXT WEEK.

16 Passengers on a liner discover that they are an exceptionally representative body.

4 are Englishman, 4 are Scots, 4 are Irish and 4 are Welsh.

There are 4 each of different ages 35, 45, 55 and 65 and no two of the same age are of the same nationality.

By profession 4 are lawyers, 4 soldiers, 4 doctors and 4 clergymen, and no two of the same profession are of the same age or of the same nationality.

It appears also that, 4 are bachelors, 4 married 4 widowed and 4 divorced, and no two of the marital status are of the same profession, or of the same age, or of the same nationality. Finally 4 are Conservatives 4 Liberals 4 Socialists and 4 communists, and no two of the same political persuasion are of the same marital status, or of the same profession, or of the same age or the same nationality.

Three of the communists are : An unmarried English Lawyer of 65, a married Scots soldier of 55, and a widowed Irish doctor of 45. The Irish Socialist is 35, the Conservative of 45 is a Scotsman, and the Englishman of 55 is a clergyman.

? WHAT DO YOU KNOW OF THE WELSH LAWYER ?

It takes time to work this out so stick with it. It is not as hard as it may look at first.

Now look at the word quiz on the next page in which you will find the facts to help you fill in the Answer section below.

(You will find some clues intended to lead you astray as well)

WRITE YOUR NAME HERE.

TEAR ALONG HERE.

THE WELSH LAWYER

NAME..... DRIVER OR NON DRIVER.....
AGE PLACE OF BIRTH
MARITAL STATUS..... TOWN OF RESIDENCE.....
NUMBER OF CHILDREN (IF ANY).....
WIFES NAME (IF ANY).....
POLITICAL VIEWS.....
UNIVERSITY ATTENDED.....
SUBJECTS STUDIED.....
CLASS OF DEGREE OBTAINED.....

XSGTPUCNINOTREVEYALPNWOTEMDHSFFATD
 LUNOTAFFYISVERYLEFTNDOOATMMNSFYXZ
 MNIABNC DITNDGHSSTANDDOMMAB CDFC CFB AZ
 LKKJCABOABHIRTEDYNVUTSRQPEEEADFCOA
 MPOIDSNERDLIHCEERHTSAHNAMHSL EWADNA
 EMOHETUANX XEBHNABCDEND OAMHBFNOTRTW
 EILGFYBZZZAIGSHREWSBURYINDODFKRSNX
 XNPNMMYIINDBFIMITJKLMNOABCDEF GHO MJTB
 AA EUONDJASIC E J L L C C C B M M X X Z Y N N F I F A L C
 HSEVVHIOBEACDKLDADDBH W Z O O H B N I T S B X I
 BEKMLKJTCNCS CYKLNNTABDWZNCI A T S K C E X D
 PVTMNO PKMOTCD T L T I K I C C W E N B A B C H L I L X N
 CIRTSRDMCND E F G I L S W V N X R E Z A O M N G M M J X D
 GROUVWAABDUC H I S N N U T F R Y Y L M N O U N O E X C
 ODPXYZNLDCNOAKJQRRISFAND AABCAONNAC
 FSHJIHGODLONS PLOPECKFEEEBND DRPOKAB
 ENSKLMFN OAICTAMNB BV CNBB C D I A F D P C I G H
 NILMNNEEISACTD L L L I I I D E N A B C D E F G E N T I
 IKEPUNC D A S A V I D N I K K E M N B J A C B A I O N Y S H I
 JNWDRSTB STBEAYONAMMMF UAYCDIMNOHOKJ
 KENXWVHANUB BC O O P O F O E F B R C F M A Z Y P P R S T
 LTIYCAROLXC CD SPPLIEFGH IOAFBB C XAVVU
 MBNABXNOOXDNAHB AIB C D E A D N G A A I O B R E I J
 NCRNBXOWALLMMAB BAB C D C Q I M L N A T C B G E H K
 ODOMBXLLIIMZAU C B F A O I N R I I W N A L D O O E G N
 PEBLBWLE FGH ZB G C D D X X X E S I A W A B B S R E F N M
 QFPKWNBCLYIXBHGFEFMAA T A B B I C L T U G Q O P
 AGFTJGFEDBOJXC NHJMSMM C B B C C I C L V W Y Z A B
 NHAIWENDYAU X W D I K M M M O L N C H T U R M X Y R E D C
 OTTB BSTUVNIIVEFLMMNAPOCDLBN OYBOFH I
 BNAGEHTLNNTUS FGLMANA B A D D L A Q P W X T L K J
 BIHCQIKMNN SRQEHNBETHES DAMOQRSUSMNO
 BTMNSRUONOHSSALCTSRIPSNIKNEJT TVIRQ P
 XKLOPHIJKLMNO PINCBB C I A B C C C O B T K H L M N

Do have a go at this puzzle it can be very
Satisfying to complete it.

It may keep you busy for an hour or so.

Good Luck.

See you all in number 41

R Cooke

EDITOR

1 ST YEAR PAGE

SEASON RECORD. P. 16 W. 5 D. 3 L. 8 F. 46 A. 63

	APPEARANCES	GOALS.
JAMIESON	16	1
BAILEY	16	4
PARRY	16	10
EYO	15	5
WRIGHT	15	5
CARTER	14	1
WELLS	14	—
FLANAGAN	14	15
SILLITOE	13	—
FLYNN	11	2
HASSELL	11	—
LINTOTT	11	1
JONES	8	1
RICHARDSON	6	—
BARKER	5	—
O'MARA	4	—
FEARON	3	—
LONG	2	—
MANLEY	2	—
PIMBLETT	2	—
WHITTAKER	2	—
SMITH	1	—

INCLUDES APPEARANCE AS SUB.


To maintain a record of all games played, on Saturday Feb. 19th. the result of the 1st Year game was,

INSTITUTE 2 S.F.X. 4. A complete record of all games played will be included in a coming edition.

MR COOKE'S PLEA.

Anyone who can give a home to a 3 month old Kitten Please come and see me. I have bottle fed this Kitten from birth, it is house trained and very affectionate. It is a Tom and I will go half towards the cost of doctoring (£5) if desired. He is a smashing mouse catcher and will make a great pet. I just haven't the time to look after him properly.

Yours hopefully Editor.



1ST & 2ND YEAR PUZZLE PAGE

Who scores the goal?

SMALL PRIZE FOR WINNER.

Each sign stands for a letter. Each group indicates a name i.e. could be Bill, Mike, Fred etc. The same sign is the same letter in all cases.

